



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,609	11/04/2004	Kenichiro Aridome	258782US6PCT	7461
22850	7590	10/01/2008	EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			NEGRON, WANDA M	
1940 DUKE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			2622	
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/01/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com  
oblonpat@oblon.com  
jgardner@oblon.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/511,609	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ARIDOME ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> WANDA M. NEGRON	<b>Art Unit</b> 2622

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### **Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 September 2008.

2a) This action is FINAL.      2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### **Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-16,18,30-37 and 39-51 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 1-16,18,30-37 and 39-51 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### **Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### **Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### **Attachment(s)**

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**DETAILED ACTION*****Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.

Applicant's submission filed on 9/02/2008 has been entered.

***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed on 9/02/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant asserts on pages 14-16 that the reference to Tahara does not teach management information and additional information being in a logical or physical position next to each other. However, the examiner maintains that Tahara teaches management information and additional information being in a logical position next to each other (see in figure 26 a logical succession indicated by the direction of the arrows wherein the schematic diagram is a representation of the data structure of an MPEG encoded stream, and wherein block 242 representing, *inter alia*, a PTS bit string is followed by block 243 representing an ancillary data bit string).

Applicant further asserts on page 16 that "Tahara describes the management information and additional information being separated from one

another by bit string 06 Video Index". However, it is the examiner's position that figure 15 describes the bit string that corresponds to the different data types, and not necessarily an encoding order (e.g., see col. 16, line 12 *et seq.*).

For the foregoing reasons, the rejection is still deemed proper and has been maintained.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

**Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tahara et al. (WO00/46989).** Because the document is in Japanese, the Examiner is also making of record Tahara et al. (US 6,671,323), which is the English translation of the original Japanese document. All citations will refer to locations in the English '323 document for ease of prosecution.

Regarding **claim 30**, Tahara discloses a reproduction apparatus (i.e., decoding system including MPEG decoder 170A connected to TV set 170B; see figure 4) having recorded thereon (i.e., storing in a temporary buffer memory 401; see figure 31) compressed time-series information (see col. 22, line 19 to col. 23, line 35), management information for a decoding/reproduction process to data

Art Unit: 2622

included in each of decoding/reproduction units of said time-series information (see figures 30C-30D), and additional information including a predetermined condition when said time-series information is retrieved (*i.e.*, a location; see Tahara, column 7, lines 62-64), said management information and said additional information being recorded next to one another in at least one of logical and physical positions (see figure 20, 21 and 26), the reproduction apparatus comprising:

- (a) means for reading out compressed time-series information and additional information (figure 31);
- (b) means for separating the compressed time-series information and the additional information (figure 31);
- (c) means for decompressing the compressed time-series information by using management information (figure 31; column 23, line 59 to column 23, line 14);
- (d) means for reproducing and outputting the decompressed time-series information by using management information (figure 31; column 23, line 59 to column 23, line 14); and
- (e) means for reproducing and outputting the additional information in synchronization with reproducing and output of the decoding/reproduction unit of the time-series information by using management information (figure 31; column 23, line 59 to column 23, line 14).

Art Unit: 2622

**Claim 31** has limitations similar to those treated in the above rejection of claim 30, and are met by the reference as discussed above. Claim 31, however, also recites the following limitations, which are met by the reference as follows:

(e) means for reproducing the additional information in synchronization with reproducing and output of the decoding/reproduction unit of the time-series information by using management information, and controlling data of one of the decoding/reproduction units on the basis of the additional information (see Tahara, figure 31; col. 23, line 59 to col. 23, line 14).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**Claims 1-18 and 32-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tahara et al. (WO00/46989), hereinafter referred to as Tahara, further in view of applicant's admitted prior art.** As above, all citations will refer to locations in the English '323 document for ease of prosecution.

Regarding claims 10 and 32, Tahara discloses an encoding apparatus wherein input video data having image data and ancillary data including a

predetermined condition when said time-series information is retrieved (*i.e.*, a location; see Tahara, column 7, lines 62-64) are received and encoded using MPEG technology, the encoding system comprising a data compression means (*i.e.*, MPEG encoders 142; see col. 1, lines 22-24; col. 7, lines 26-34) for carrying out data compression on time-series information (*i.e.*, the input video data which comprises time-series information, *i.e.*, time-sequential image data; see col. 3, lines 51-65); and management-information generation means (*e.g.*, a field counter 102 for outputting PTS and DTS time stamps and a field identifier and line number; col. 8, lines 9-15 and col. 17, lines 30-43) for generating management information for use in a decoding/reproduction process for decoding and reproducing said time-series information (see figures 30A-30D). Tahara also discloses an additional-information separating means (*i.e.*, ancillary data separating circuit 101; see column 7, lines 36-50) for extracting additional data (*i.e.*, supplemental information regarding the input video data; see column 7, lines 51-64) from the input video data; and an MPEG encoded data structure wherein the management information and the additional information are next to each other in logical position (see figures 20, 21 and 26) in respective predetermined decoding/reproduction units (*i.e.*, MPEG encoded data structure comprising a sequence layer, a GOP layer and a picture layer; see figure 26).

Tahara, however, does not explicitly disclose that said encoding system is used in a computer implemented recording apparatus comprising data inputting means for inputting time-series information; additional-information generation means for generating additional information that is supplemental information

Art Unit: 2622

regarding said time-series information; and recording control means for recording said time-series information once compressed on a recording medium, and recording said management information and said additional information next to each other on said recording medium.

Applicant, on the other hand, discloses as admitted prior art, that the conventional MPEG method is adopted "as a data compression method" for recording a moving picture and associated additional information onto a recording medium in a computer implemented recording apparatus (*i.e.*, a digital camera; see specification as filed, page 4, last paragraph). It would have been inherent to include in the camera a data inputting means for inputting time-series information (*i.e.*, an inherent image pickup device required to generate input video data, which comprises time-series information, *i.e.*, time-sequential image data) in order for the digital camera to be "capable of taking" a "moving picture" (see specification as filed, page 4, last paragraph). Applicant also discloses as admitted prior art that a digital camera comprises an additional-information generation means for generating additional information to "be recorded onto a recording medium" (see specification as filed, page 5, lines 2-18), and that the MPEG method requires that "management information for decoding and reproduction is added to each decoding/reproduction unit... and recorded onto a recording medium along with the coded image data" (see specification as filed, page 4, lines 11-22). It would have been inherent to include a recording control means in the disclosed digital camera for recording said time-series information, once compressed, on the disclosed recording medium, and for recording the

Art Unit: 2622

management information and the additional information on said recording medium. When implementing the encoding system of Tahara in the digital camera disclosed by applicant as admitted prior art, the management information and the additional information would be recorded next to each other on said recording medium according to the MPEG encoded data structure taught by Tahara.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the encoding apparatus disclosed by Tahara for encoding management information and additional information in the conventional camera system described by applicant as admitted prior art because, when MPEG encoding and decoding is repeated, the ancillary data added to the video data in the camera system will not be lost (see Tahara, col. 3, lines 19-27 and lines 51-58).

Regarding **claims 17 and 38**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that additional information includes at least information on a condition (*i.e.*, a location) at which data of the time-series information is acquired (see Tahara, column 7, lines 62-64).

Regarding **claims 11 and 33**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that the inherent recording control means generates data including a plurality of the decoding/reproduction units of said time-series information as data of a read/write unit serving as a unit, in which data is written onto said recording medium and read out from said recording medium (see applicant's

Art Unit: 2622

specification as filed, page 3, line 3 – page 4, line 15); and the sequence of pieces of data included in the decoding/reproduction units pertaining to the read/write unit in a time-series direction is changed (see applicant's specification as filed, prior art figures 9A-9B).

Regarding **claim 12**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that the management information is time management information (see Tahara, col. 8, lines 9-15, and figures 30A-30D).

Regarding **claims 13 and 34**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses recording additional information by placing management information at a predetermined location of the read/write unit (see Tahara, figure 26).

Regarding **claims 14 and 35**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that data of the read/write unit comprises a plurality of packets (see Tahara, column 6, lines 60-63 and figure 27); and additional information is recorded in data of the read/write unit as a packet including management information (see Tahara, figure 26 and col. 20, line 36 to col. 21, line 38).

Regarding **claims 15 and 36**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that data of the read/write unit comprises a plurality of packets (see Tahara, column 6, lines 60-63 and figure 27); a specific packet is selected among packets of time series information (see Tahara, figures 7C and 28, col. 20, lines

Art Unit: 2622

35-64); and additional information is recorded at a location relative to the specified packet including management information (see Tahara, figures 7C and 26, column 17, lines 14-29).

Regarding **claims 16 and 37**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that additional information includes at least information on a time at which data of the time-series information is acquired (see Tahara, column 7, lines 62-64).

Regarding **claims 18 and 39**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that:

- (a) the time-series information is video information (see Tahara, column 7, lines 4-6);
- (b) data of the decoding/reproduction unit is information of a frame unit (see Tahara, figures 30A-30D); and
- (c) the data compression uses a correlation (predictive coding) with data of the decoding/reproduction unit (see Tahara, column 1, lines 22-37).

Method **claims 1-9** are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 10-18. Therefore method claims 1-9 correspond to apparatus claims 10-18 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Regarding **claims 44 and 48**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that a data size of each additional information is constant (*i.e.*, 22-bit ancillary data; see Tahara, figure 21).

Regarding **claims 45 and 49**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that said additional information follows said management-information in a logical position (see Tahara, figures 20, 21 and 26).

Regarding **claims 46 and 50**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that said additional information includes time information of said time-series information (see Tahara, col. 7, lines 39-42 and 62-64).

Regarding **claims 47 and 51**, Tahara in view of applicant's admitted prior art discloses that said additional information includes condition information of said time-series information being generated (see Tahara, col. 7, lines 50-64).

Method **claims 40-43** are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 44-47. Therefore method claims 40-43 correspond to apparatus claims 44-47 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to WANDA M. NEGRON whose telephone number is (571)270-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Wanda M. Negrón/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2622  
September 23, 2008

/David L. Ometz/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 2622